MEYER HENDRICKS & BIVENS, P.A.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Phoenix Corp. Ctr. 3003 N. Central Ave. Suite 1200 P.O. Box 2199 Phoenix, Arizona 85001-2199

Telephone 602-604-2200

Facsimile 602-263-5333





DOCKET NO. S-03539A-03-0000

PHANTASY'S ANSWER TO

TEMPORARY ORDER TO

RESPONDENT WORLD

CEASE AND DESIST

BEFORE THE AKIZONA CONTONION COMMISSIONE CEIVED

MARC SPITZER

Chairman

JIM IRVIN

Commissioner

WILLIAM A. MUNDELL

Commissioner

JEFF MATCH-MILLER

Commissioner

MIKE GLEASON

Commissioner

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

AUG 0 8 2003

DOCKETED BY

2003 AUG -8 P 2: 42

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

In the matter of:

YUCATAN RESORTS, INC., d/b/a YUCATAN RESORTS, S.A., RESORT HOLDINGS INTERNATIONAL, INC., d/b/a/

RESORT HOLDINGS INTERNATIONAL, S.A., WORLD PHANTASY TOURS, INC.,

a/k/a MAJESTY TRAVEL a/k/a VIAJES MAJESTY, MICHAEL E. KELLY and LORI

KELLY,

16

15

17 18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Respondent World Phantasy Tours, Inc. ("World Phantasy") answers the

Temporary Order to Cease and Desist and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing

Respondents.

("Order") before the Securities Division of the Arizona Corporation Commission as

21 | follows:

JURISDICTION

1. Respondent denies the allegations contained in paragraph 1 and asserts

that no securities are involved in the transactions. Therefore the Arizona Securities

Act does not apply and the Arizona Corporation Commission lacks jurisdiction over

26 this matter.

RESPONDENTS

- 2. Respondent admits, upon information and belief, that Yucatan Resorts S.A. marketed the Universal Lease in the United States. Respondent lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 2, and therefore denies the same.
- 3. Respondent lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained in paragraph 3, and therefore denies the same.
- 4. Respondent admits that Majesty Travel d/b/a World Phantasy Tours is a Panamanian corporation operating a resort management and travel business and has an address at Calle Eusebio A. Morales, Edificio Atlantida, P Baja, APDO, 8301 Zona 7, Panama. Respondent denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 4.
- 5. Respondent lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraphs 5 through 7, and therefore denies the same.
- 6. The allegations contained in paragraph 8 are not factual in nature and therefore require no response.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. Respondent denies the allegations contained in paragraphs 9 through 27 to the extent those allegations are directed against Respondent World Phantasy. Respondent lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in paragraphs 9 through 27, and therefore denies the same.
- 8. In response to paragraph 28 of the Order, Respondent admits that in the event a Universal Leaseholder chooses to have third party locate and lease their leased unit and subsequently selects World Phantasy as a third party leasing agent, the Universal Leaseholder is instructed by World Phantasy to complete a "Universal Lease Management Agreement." Respondent denies the remaining allegations contained in

paragraph 28 to the extent those allegations are made against Respondent World Phantasy. Respondent lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 28, and therefore denies the same.

- 9. Respondent denies the allegations contained in paragraph 29 to the extent those allegations are made against Respondent World Phantasy. Respondent lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 29, and therefore denies the same.
- 10. In response to paragraph 30 of the Order, Respondent admits that the "Universal Lease Management Agreement" speaks for itself. Respondent denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 30 to the extent those allegations are made against Respondent World Phantasy.
- 11. Respondent denies the allegations contained in paragraphs 31 through 48 to the extent those allegations are made against Respondent World Phantasy.

 Respondent lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in paragraphs 31 through 48, and therefore denies the same.

VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1841

12. Respondent denies each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 49 through 51 to the extent those allegations are made against Respondent World Phantasy. Respondent lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in paragraphs 49 through 51, and therefore denies the same.

VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1842

13. Respondent denies each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 52 and 53 to the extent those allegations are made against Respondent World Phantasy.

1	Respondent lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
2	of the remaining allegations contained in paragraphs 52 and 53, and therefore denies
3	the same.
4	VIOLATION OF A.R.S. § 44-1991
5	14. Respondent denies each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 54
6	and 55 to the extent those allegations are made against Respondent World Phantasy.
7	Respondent lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth
8	of the remaining allegations contained in paragraphs 54 and 55, and therefore denies
9	the same.
10	GENERAL DENIAL
11	15. Respondent denies each and every allegation of the Order to Cease and
12	Desist not specifically admitted.
13	FIRST DEFENSE
14	16. Failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
15	SECOND DEFENSE
16	17. The alleged transactions do not involve securities. Therefore, Arizona
17	securities laws do not apply and the Arizona Corporation Commission lacks
18	jurisdiction to hear the claims.
19	THIRD DEFENSE
20	18. Arizona's securities registration statutes and regulations do not apply to
21	the sale of timeshares under an approved timeshare plan, pursuant to, among other
22	things, A.R.S. § 32-2197.
23	FOURTH DEFENSE
24	19. Lack of personal jurisdiction.
25	FIFTH DEFENSE
26	20. Lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

1	SIXTH DEFENSE
2	21. Failure to join indispensable parties.
3	SEVENTH DEFENSE
4	22. Defective and/or insufficient service of process.
5	EIGHTH DEFENSE
6	23. Collateral proceedings raise the threat of inconclusive and contrary
7	results. Therefore, this matter should alternatively be stayed pending the outcome of
8	those proceedings.
9	NINTH DEFENSE
10	24. Any ruling in this action would be unconstitutional under the laws of the
11	State of Arizona and under the laws of the United States of America including failure
12	to provide due process.
13	TENTH DEFENSE
14	25. The claims asserted are barred by the applicable statutes of limitation.
15	WHEREFORE, there is no basis for the imposition of liability of any kind or
16	nature, the temporary order to cease and desist should be vacated and there should be
17	no award of any kind or nature against Respondent.
18	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this grant day of August, 2003.
19	MEYER, HENDRICKS & BIVENS, P.A.
20	
21	By Twolor Copeland
22	Tom Galbraith
23	Kirsten Copeland 3003 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1200 Phoenix Asizona 85012 2015
24	Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2915 Attorneys for Respondent
25	World Phantasy Tours, Inc.

1	ORIGINAL and thirteen copies of the foregoing
2	hand-delivered this \leq day of August, 2003 to:
3	Docket Control
4	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street
5	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
6	
7	COPY of the foregoing hand-delivered this day of August, 2003 to:
8	
9	Hearing Officer Hearing Division
10	Arizona Corporation Commission
11	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
12	Jaima Balfai Ega
13	Jaime Palfai, Esq. W. Mark Sendrow, Esq.
14	Securities Division Arizona Corporation Commission
15	1300 West Washington Street, 3rd Floor
16	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
17	COPY of the foregoing sent via U.S. Mail
18	thisday of August, 2003 to:
19	Joel Held, Esq.
20	Elizabeth Yingling, Esq. Baker & McKenzie
21	2300 Trammell Crow Center 2001 Ross Avenue – Ste.2300
22	Dallas, Texas 75201
23	Attorneys for Respondent Yucatan Resorts, Inc., d/b/a Yucatan Resorts, S.A.,
24	and RHI, Inc., d/b/a RHI, S.A.
25	

	1
1	Paul J. Roshka, Jr., Esq.
2	Dax Watson, Esq.
	Roshk, Heyman & DeWulff
3	One Arizona Center 400 East Van Buren Street, Suite 800
4	Phoenix, Arizona 85004
5	Attorneys for Respondents
	Michael and Lori Kelly
6	
7	Martin R. Galbut
8	Jeffrey D. Gardner
	Galbut & Hunter
9	Camelback Esplanade
10	2425 E. Camelback Road
11	Suite 1020 Phoenix, Arizona 85016
11	Attorneys for Respondents
12	Yucatan Resorts, Inc., d/b/a
13	Yucatan Resorts, S.A., and
14	RHI, Inc., d/b/a RHI, S.A.
14	
15	Quna Carl
16	357729
17	
17	
18	·
19	
20	
20	